Budget Proposals and Medium Term Financial Strategy

Introduction

The Council is required to identify savings proposals and/or income generation opportunities to a minimum value of £41.083m over the three years 2016/17 to 2018/19 to deliver a sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS). The budget proposals will, if supported, contribute to the Council setting its Council Tax and Revenue Budget for 2016/17 on 2nd March 2016.

Budget Scrutiny

Overview and Scrutiny Management Board (OSMB) have been scrutinising the proposals across all Advisory Cabinet portfolios during a number of sessions. Each proposal has been discussed and questions asked of officers from each service area with regard to the implications and risks of the proposals. OSMB have fed back their responses to the proposals to officers and requested additional information for some proposals. Further budget scrutiny is taking place by OSMB on 26 November to ratify their comments and recommendations for submission to Commissioner Manzie.

For information the cover report regarding the savings proposals presented to OSMB with regard to the Waste, Roads and Enforcement portfolio is appended to this briefing (appendix B). This report sets out in more detail the implications, risks and mitigation of the proposals. The cover report refers to Appendix 1 which included savings proposals amounting to £1.289m over the three years from 2016/17 to 2018/19.

Referral to Improving Places

At OSMB it was agreed to forward a small number of the proposals from the Waste, Roads and Enforcement portfolio to the Improving Places Select Commission for further discussion in relation to the commission's scrutiny of the waste and enforcement agenda. This is to ensure that there is no discrepancy between the recommendations from the scrutiny review work and the savings proposals. The relevant proposals for discussion are attached at Appendix A.

Members of Improving Places are asked to consider the proposals in the context of their scrutiny review work and to feed in their comments through the Chair to OSMB on Thursday 26 November.

Briefing note: Janet Spurling, Scrutiny Officer janet.spurling@rotherham.gov.uk

Waste, Roads & Enforcement

Saving proposals for consideration

Commissioner Manzie's Decision Making Meeting 15th October 2015

2016/7	2017/18	2018/19	Total over 3 years
£,000	£,000	£,000	£,000
772	279	238	1,289
FTE	FTE	FTE	FTE
10.5	4.5	1	16

Totals of portfolio savings enclosed:

In addition to the above savings EDS 23A will deliver a cost reduction of 50k in 2017/18 (this budget recurrently overspends)

Neighbourhoods & EDS

<u> </u>				ASR REF NO: 19										
CUF	RRENT SERVICE SU		RY (Waste Treatment)											
Direc	torate:	EDS &		Brief description of service: Waste Treatment and Disposal covers the contractual arrangements for dealing with the										
		Neighb	our											
		hoods		treatment and disposal o										
Advis	sory Cabinet Portfolio:		waste at our 4 Household Waste Recycling Centres; the haulage of skips from these											
		Sims £1,180		sites, service costs for R										
	16 Budget (£'000 Gross):	(hazardous clinical waste, asbestos) and the receipt of income from kerbside recycling												
	16 Budget £'000 Income:	operations and bring sites.												
	16 Budget (£'000 Net):													
2015/16 Budget FTE: 0				Waste Services have a statutory duty and a duty of care to manage waste from Council										
		Include		operations and ensure that all the waste and recyclates produced are treated and disposed of through outlets that hold the relevant environmental permits.										
		Waste			ets that hold the relevant environmental permits.									
		SR												
SA\	/INGS PROPOSALS	51												
Ref:	Action		Impact Statement of proposals on Corporate priorities/Outcomes, Staff, Customers, Partners, Other		16/17	17/18	18/19	16/17	17/18	18/19	TOTAL	TOTAL		
			Directorates/Services, Assets, initial ed	ates/Services, Assets, initial equalities assessment,		£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	FTE		l /		
			consultation requirements etc.								£'000	FTE		
А	Dispose of carpets and		It is cheaper to dispose of mattresses and carpets through disposal rather than through recycling outlets. This will affect		105						105			
	mattresses through the Sub	C												
	Regional Waste Plant		recycling performance by approximately 1.16%											
			RAG Status - Green									<u> </u>		
	TOTAL				105						105			

COMMENTS ON ABOVE PROPOSALS:

With the current pricing structure that we have in the Sub Regional Waste Plant for disposal of waste at Band 2 (£13.68 per tonne); from the economic perspective it is cheaper to undertake disposal than to attempt to increase recycling. The saving proposed relates to all the carpets and mattresses disposed of through the Councils four Household Waste Recycling Centres.

				ASR REF NO: 20 V	Naste	Colle	ection								
CUF	RRENT SERVICE SU	JMMA	RY (Waste Collection)												
Direc	torate:	EDS &		Brief description of serv	/ice:										
		Neighb	oour	This is a horough wide .					a ha lal						
Advisory Cabinet Portfolio: Cllr Sin			20	This is a borough wide service provide to every household											
Advisory Cabinet Portfolio:Cllr Si2015/16 Budget (£'000 Gross):£5,22				Waste Management undertakes the provision of all waste collection services (Black Bin, Green Bin, Blue Box and Bag), bulky item collections, bin delivery and the management											
		£980k	N	of the contract for four Ho								Smerit			
2015/16 Budget (£'000 Net): £4,243			k					9			g				
		122.6		The Council has a Statute	ory Duty	to colled	ct House	hold Wa	aste as c	lefined in	n the				
includ		includir	ng	Environmental protection	Act 199	0									
	12 sta		f												
posts															
SAV	INGS PROPOSALS	5:													
Ref:	Action		Impact Statement of proposals on Corporate		16/17	17/18	18/19	16/17	17/18	18/19	TOTAL	TOTAL			
			priorities/Outcomes, Staff, Customers, Partners, Other Directorates/Services, Assets, initial equalities assessment,												
					£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	FTE	£'000	FTE			
•			consultation requirements etc.		20	00	0.0	1.0	1.0						
A	A Rationalisation of the Staff Structure		Will meet the 15% requirement for staff savings; there would need to be a rationalisation across the Management Structure			20	20	1.0	1.0	0	60	2.0			
	Structure		for the service, the loss of 2FTEs rep												
			in terms of management/supervisory												
			upon service resilience in terms of lo												
			knock-on to service performance.	-											
0			RAG Status: Amber	and the theory of the state	101			0.0			101	0.0			
С	Fleet Renewal – Change th		The current fleet of vehicles have con lease. It is considered that the type o		164			3.0			164	3.0			
	of Vehicle used to support the kerbside collection of dry recyclables														
			be changed to a conventional split bodied refuse vehicle.												
			This type of vehicle will speed up the	operation and allow for a											
			reduction in the fleet of one vehicle a	nd crew.											
															
			The Waste Management establishme	ent would be reduced by 3											
			posts												

Ref:	Action	Impact Statement of proposals on Corporate	16/17	17/18	18/19	16/17	17/18	18/19	TOTAL	ΤΟΤΑ
		priorities/Outcomes, Staff, Customers, Partners, Other								
		Directorates/Services, Assets, initial equalities assessment,	£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	FTE	£'000	FTE
		consultation requirements etc.							2 000	
		It is considered there would be no impact upon the delivery of								
		the service to residents								
		RAG Status: Amber								
3	Revert to an alternate week	The Council currently provides a weekly collection of black bins	30						30	
	collection on domestic refuse at	over the Christmas / New Year period when the service is in								
	Christmas / New Year; we currently provide a weekly	backlog; this is a period of approximately 10 working days.								
	collection for the 10 days when	It is considered that initially there would be an adverse public								
	the service is in a backlog	reaction as the frequency between collections would be								
	situation	extended at a time of year when the waste produced								
		increases.								
		It will take longer to get the service back on a regular collection								
		frequency; however 3 Saturdays will be worked to assist in								
		minimising the disruption in collection frequencies.								
		It has been agreed that the Household Waste Recycling								
		Centres will remain open on all days during the period that the								
		service is in backlog. These sites will be closed on Christmas								
		Day, Boxing Day and New Year's Day								
		RAG Status: Amber								
	TOTAL		214	20	20	4	1	0	254	5

LUR	RENT SERVICE SU	IMMAF	RY (Winter Maintenand	:e)								
Direct	torate:	EDS &	Neighbourhoods	Borough wide service	;							
Advisory Cabinet Portfolio: Cllr Sims Highway precautionary salting (gritting) is carried out only on roads												
2015/16 Budget (£'000 Gross): £461,100 flow of traffic i.e. principal roads, other well used classified roads, I									nd acce			
	16 Budget £'000 Income:		£0	roads to hospitals and fire stations. This is approximately 50% of the roads in Rotherham. During heavy snowfall additional teams from across Streetpride are ut								
	16 Budget (£'000 Net):		£461,100									e utilise
2 <mark>015/1</mark>	16 Budget FTE:		49	to clear snow from foot	ways, ro	outes to	school, (doctors,	sneitere	a nousir	ng etc.	
SAV	INGS PROPOSALS	•										
Ref: Action			priorities/Outcomes, Staff, Customers, Partners, Other Directorates/Services, Assets, initial equalities assessment, consultation requirements etc		16/17	17/18	18/19	16/17	17/18	18/19	TOTAL	TOTAL
					£'000	£'000	£'000	FTE	FTE	FTE	£'000	FTE
								-				
7			A review is to be carried out to identify if efficiencies can be		0	50	0	0	0	0	50	0
	required to grit the roads		made to route planning. It is anticipated that this could reduce the number of routes from 10 to 9, whilst still maintaining to salt									
			the same length of highway.									
			This would reduce the number of lorries and out-of-hours									
			drivers required to deliver the se	rvice.								
			•									
		The service operates a similar level of service as other SY										
			Authorities.									
			RAG Status: Red									

COMMENTS ON ABOVE PROPOSALS:

The above saving would only partially mitigate the existing recurrent pressure on this budget by £50k

The 2015/16 budget allocation for highway winter maintenance is £461,100. The average cost of providing the winter services, based on the average for the last 5 years is approximately £829,396.

YEAR 2 PROPOSAL DUE TO EXISTING CONTRACT HIRE ARRANGEMENTS

Appendix B



Public Report Overview and Scrutiny Management Board

Summary Sheet

Council Report

Title: Budget 2016/17 and MTFS Progress Update

Is this a Key Decision and has it been included on the Forward Plan?

No

Strategic Director Approving Submission of the Report

Stuart Booth, Interim Strategic Director of Finance & Corporate Services

Report Author(s)

Pete Hudson, Chief Finance Manager

Ward(s) Affected

All

Executive Summary

This report recommends that Budget Savings Proposals of £1.289m for the period 2016/17 to 2018/19 in respect of the Advisory Cabinet Portfolio of Waste, Roads and Enforcement be considered by the Overview and Scrutiny Management Board (OSMB).

Where savings proposals are supported in principle, detailed equalities impact assessments and/or consultation will be undertaken, as required.

The aim is to produce a draft Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) by November 2015, in line with the timescales included in the Corporate Improvement Plan

Recommendations

For Overview and Scrutiny Management Board to consider the proposals attached at Appendix 1 and provide any feedback they wish to make before it comes back for a final Commissioner decision.

List of Appendices Included

Appendix 1 - Advisory Cabinet Portfolio of Waste, Roads and Enforcement Savings Proposals

Background Papers

Budget 2016/17 and MTFS Progress Update Report to Commissioner Manzie's Decision making meeting – 23rd September 2015.

Consideration by any other Council Committee, Scrutiny or Advisory Panel

This report will be referred on to Overview and Scrutiny Management Board (OSMB)

Council Approval Required

Ultimately Full Council approval will be required for setting the 2016/17 Budget.

Exempt from the Press and Public

No

Title (Main Report)

1. Recommendations

1.1 For Overview and Scrutiny Management Board to consider the proposals attached at **Appendix 1** and provide any feedback they wish to make before it comes back for a final Commissioner decision.

2. Background

2.1 This is the second of a series of reports containing budget savings proposals for the period 2016/17 to 2018/19 which if supported, will help deliver the Council's estimated minimum financial challenge of £41.083m over the next three financial years.

2.2 The savings contained at **Appendix 1** are specifically in relation to the Advisory Cabinet Portfolio of Waste, Roads and Enforcement.

3. Key Issues

3.1The Council is required to identify savings proposals and or income generation opportunities to a minimum value of £41.083m over the three years 2016/17 to 2018/19 to deliver a sustainable Medium Term Financial Strategy (MTFS).

3.2 The savings proposals within the attached appendix amount to \pounds 1.289m over the three years 2016/17 to 2018/19 and if supported will impact on 16 full time equivalent (FTE) posts.

		2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	3 Years
Value	of	£772k	£279k	£238k	£1,289k
Savings					
Number	of	10.5	4.5	1.0	16.0
FTE po	osts				
affected					

4. Options considered and recommended proposal

4.1 The savings proposals in Appendix 1 are recommended for formal consideration.

5. Consultation

5.1 The savings within **Appendix 1** are referred to OSMB for their consideration. Any comments or queries will be referred back to Commissioner Manzie before a "minded to" decision is made.

5.2 All saving proposals will be subject to consultation. The extent of the consultation required will vary by the nature of the proposal. Where proposals have limited impact on customers or partners then the consultation required can be scheduled as soon as possible to bring forward savings in year, where appropriate. The majority of green rated savings fall into this category across all portfolios. The main group affected are employees and the expectation is that managers have already started the discussions about the possible implications of the savings options with the staff concerned.

5.3 For amber and red rated proposals across all portfolios more detailed consultation will be required, for example, with service users and partners, as well as staff. In such cases, savings proposals where the consultation can take place as soon as possible will be identified to maximise the chances for the exercise to be completed before the end of the current financial year to deliver a full year saving from April 1st 2016. It should be noted however, that there will be more complex savings proposals that have been deferred, are part of a major programme, or have significant impacts on customers, staff and partners which will necessitate more detailed consultation. These proposals are being identified and managers will be advised individually on the options available to them in order for the correct consultation process to be worked up and started as quickly as possible.

6. Timetable and Accountability for Implementing this Decision

6.1 These budget proposals will, if supported, contribute to the Council setting its Council Tax and Revenue Budget for 2016/17 on 2nd March 2016.

7. Financial and Procurement Implications

7.1 Addressing the financial challenge over the next three years is critical for the Council. These proposals, if supported, would contribute to delivering that challenge.

7.2 The financial implications of the proposals are set out in **Appendix 1**.

8. Legal Implications

8.1 In preparing its MTFS and Budget, the Council must be mindful of the potential impact on service users. Section 149 of the Equality Act 2010 in particular imposes an obligation on Members to have due regard to protecting and promoting the welfare and interests of persons who share a relevant protected characteristic (such as: age; disability; gender re-assignment; marriage and civil partnership; pregnancy and maternity; race; religion or belief; sex and sexual orientation).

8.2 The Council is required to set its Council Tax, and in doing so set its 2016/17 budget, by no later than 10th March 2016.

8.3 Where appropriate, savings proposals will be subject to consultation with the public, partners, clients and employees.

9. Human Resources Implications

9.1 The Council currently employs 4,138 full time equivalents (5,760 people). Research shows that the best way of receiving information by any workforce is from their manager or team leader. However it is also important to give out consistent corporate messages. Regular Budget Bulletins to communicate the budget process to the workforce is in place and staff will continue to be appraised of decisions as they are taken and/or of timescales for decisions to be taken.

9.2 The savings proposals in Appendix 1 have a potential impact on 16 FTE posts over the next three years.

10. Implications for Children and Young People and Vulnerable Adults

10.1 Not applicable

11 Equalities and Human Rights Implications

11.1 Detailed Equalities Assessments will be undertaken where there is a requirement to do so.

11.2 Where appropriate, savings proposals will be subject to consultation with the public, partners, clients and employees.

12. Implications for Partners and Other Directorates

12.1These are contained within **Appendix 1**.

13. Risks and Mitigation

13.1 The Council has identified an estimated budget challenge of £41.083m over the next 3 years. It is imperative that the Council develops and implements a robust MTFS which is sustainable and aligned to the delivery of the Council's key objectives. Although Rotherham Council has a good record of delivering its budget and achieving planned savings, meeting the estimated financial challenge and delivering a balanced budget going forward means that the Council will have to deliver all of the identified savings and with limited margin for variability. Should savings options be rejected, alternatives will have to be identified if the Council is to set a balanced budget and remain within the statutory framework for managing its finances. This adds further potential risk to the budget process.

13.2 It is therefore essential that the Council identifies savings options to eliminate this estimated financial challenge whilst at the same time protecting, to the extent it can, the key services on which the public of Rotherham rely.

14. Accountable Officer(s)

Pete Hudson, Chief Finance Manager (for the report)

Strategic Directors, Assistant Directors and Budget Managers and Budget Holders for the delivery of supported savings proposals.

Approvals Obtained from:-

Strategic Director of Finance and Corporate Services:- Stuart Booth Interim Director of Legal & Democratic Services:- Catherine Parkinson

This report is published on the Council's website or can be found at:-

http://moderngov.rotherham.gov.uk/ieDocHome.aspx?Categories=